Case: 1:03-cv-03904 Document #: 546-3 Filed: 02/04/13 Page 1 of 8 PageID #:8033

Exhibit B

258 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 3 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,) 4 Plaintiff. No. 03 C 3904 5 Chicago, Illinois July 25, 2008 vs. 6 10:30 a.m. KEVIN TRUDEAU, 7 Defendant.) 8 9 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT W. GETTLEMAN 10 11 APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 12 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW NJ-3212 13 Washington, DC 20580 BY: MS. LAUREEN KAPIN 14 MS. SANDYA PRABHU 15 16 For the Defendant: **JENNER & BLOCK** 17 One IBM Plaza Chicago, Illinois 60611 BY: MR. DAVID J. BRADFORD 18 MR. DANIEL J. HURTADO 19 20 21 JENNIFER S. COSTALES, CRR, RMR 22 Official Reporter: 219 South Dearborn Street 23 Room 1706 Chicago, Illinois 60604 24 (312) 427-5351 25

259 1 (Proceedings in open court.) 2 THE CLERK: FTC versus Kevin Trudeau; 03 C 3904. 3 MR. BRADFORD: Good morning, Your Honor. David Bradford together with Daniel Hurtado, our project 4 5 assistant Michael Hughes, and Mr. Kevin Trudeau. 6 MS. KAPIN: Your Honor, Laureen Kapin for the Federal Trade Commission. My colleague, Ms. Bergquist, and Ms. Prabhu 7 8 will be joining me shortly. 9 THE COURT: Are you going to be presenting a witness? MS. KAPIN: No, Your Honor. After further consideration 10 and review of the record and the evidence in the record, we have 11 decided not to call Ms. Hippsley. 12 I would like to, just for purposes of clarification, 13 14 Your Honor, there was some attorney argument in response to your 15 question about whether Mr. Trudeau or his attorneys had in mind the mirror image doctrine. And in the context of that answer 16 17 regarding whether the mirror image doctrine was discussed during negotiations, Mr. Bradford had indicated that that was something 18 that was on the FTC's mind. 19 And I would just like to clarify that that is not going 20 21 to be considered testimony by you, Your Honor, but that is just 22 attorney argument. 23 THE COURT: That's all it was. With that caveat --24 MS. KAPIN: I mean, if there is evidence either side 25 THE COURT:

1 if everybody here has had the pleasure. I went to school in Boston. He brought Durgin Park up, and I can't think of anything 2 more unhealthy than a meal at Durgin Park. Even the waiters 3 throw the food at you as I recall. 4 But seriously, I was trying to find where in the book 5 6 over the lunch hour as I was eating my organic salad -- I don't know if the turkey they serve downstairs is organic or not. 7 8 MS. KAPIN: Nitrates, Your Honor. I hope it is. Well, it looks good. 9 THE COURT: 10 Anyway as I was reading it, I was looking at the book. MR. BRADFORD: I can find it for you. 11 12 THE COURT: I've got it. 13 MR. BRADFORD: He says it in the book. 14 THE COURT: Pardon me? MR. BRADFORD: He does say it in the book. 15 16 THE COURT: Well, he says what? 17 MR. BRADFORD: As much as you want. 18 THE COURT: Well, no. Let me read you page 106, "The simplest rule to follow is to eat anything you want, as much as 19 you want, as often as you want." 20 21 So from what you've told me just recently about the mirror image doctrine, if that were being applied strictly, 22 23 perhaps that would pass muster under the mirror image doctrine, 24 because he says exactly that in the book. 25 But that was not the intent that I had in issuing that

order and using the language that is in the order, because according to your interpretation, Mr. Bradford, of the mirror image doctrine and your witnesses, he could have put as the next sentence, "Only kidding. You really can't eat anything that you want. You can't eat more than 500 calories a day. What I just said is just a joke."

And yet maybe under the mirror image doctrine he could get away with that in an ad for the book, but not under this order, because he goes right on after that to say, and this is just one example, there is others in the book, "The only caveat is, the only caveat is only eat 100 percent organic food." He then goes on to expound on that, and then he says, "Oh, and you can't eat brand-name food either. And you can't eat fast food."

So what he said in that sentence and in the infomercial, "you can eat anything you want, as much as you want" is just not true. The book doesn't say that at all.

He also says, and these are just a couple of examples that come to my mind -- and I'm going to write on this, so, you know, when you write something, sometimes you may change your mind, sometimes you refine your conclusions -- he also says no exercise. Well, what's walking an hour a day if not exercise? And you've pointed out some other examples.

And I can't help but conclude that the whole purpose of this infomercial is to represent to consumers that they're going to buy a book, the content of which discloses a protocol that's

1 easy, that allows you to eat anything you want, as much as you want the rest of your life, including hot fudge sundaes and 2 3 creamy mashed potatoes, and to avoid exercise, when, in fact, the book says you can't do those things. You can't eat anything you 4 want. You can't go without exercise. You've got to take 5 6 injections of hormones. Now, I know a lot of that language is couched in terms 7 that say, well, maybe it's not mandatory, because it's only 8 mentioned as mandatory in the first phase, which is not itself 9 10 mandatory. But even under phase four it says "Take as directed." 11 So you're still supposed to take this growth hormone, which is, 12 of course, anything but easy. And it also, it also it may be 13 dangerous. It's certainly not approved by the FDA. 14 And colonics and all the rest of it, how you do that at home, I don't know, but maybe some people can do that. 15 "DO colonics as necessary," what does that mean? I don't know what 16 17 that means. But "do colonics" certainly is there. You're supposed to get colonics. 18 19 MS. KAPIN: And, Your Honor --THE COURT: How you do that at home, I don't really 20 21 know. 22 These are just some examples. Unless I change my mind 23 about the way I read this order, I think that I'm going to pretty 24 much stick with my original conclusion. 25 I am troubled though about remedy here, because maybe we

1 have to get back together after I put all my thoughts together on 2 this, you've given me a lot to think about, but I am troubled 3 about remedy, because the remedy that you are suggesting is 4 rather Draconian. I think that it could be totally overdoing it, 5 because I think the FTC should have been more on the ball on 6 this.

I realize Mr. Trudeau could have given you this to look 7 But I think when you talk about consumer protection, I don't 8 at. 9 mean to be too hard on the FTC here, I know they're a busy agency, but there was no reluctance in having a dialogue with 10 Mr. Trudeau and his counsel when other problems arose in 11 connection with these other books. And to say that everybody who 12 13 bought this book should be given a refund strikes me as a bit 14 overdoina it.

As far as the retail sales are concerned, I told you I think a long time ago I had a problem with that, because even if you listen to the infomercial and walked in to Borders and saw the book, just flipping through the book would tell you that the infomercial was inaccurate for the very reasons we've been talking about.

All you have to do is go to the back of the book and look at these protocols. If you go to the Atkins diet or anybody who looks, I don't look at diet books, but I think it's fair to say that when you go to a diet book, you usually go to the diet and see what -- you know, you don't read the fine print. You

read what the diet is. And if you go to the ones in this book, 1 you see pretty much there is nothing easy, or any of the other 2 things Mr. Trudeau says on the infomercials just are contradicted 3 by the book itself. So I'm not sure I would include the retail 4 5 sales at all in a remedy. I know what the argument is to the contrary, but I don't think I'd be inclined to do that at this 6 7 point. 8 So I'm just sharing some thoughts with you, because I 9 don't know whether they will be finalized in an order that I issue. But as you know, I like to share my thoughts with lawyers 10 11 that are as well prepared and as thoughtful as you guys are. That's pretty much where I'm at at this moment. But you've given 12 me an awful lot to talk about. 13 If there is anything that I just said that you may want 14 15 to respond to? I'm happy to hear from you. 16 MR. BRADFORD: May I approach, Your Honor? 17 THE COURT: Sure. 18 MR. BRADFORD: With respect to the mirror image 19 doctrine, I want to be clear. I am not arguing that the FTC's counsel or Mr. Trudeau's counsel said to each other, "Let's put 20 21 the mirror image doctrine in this order, and we'll do it by this sentence." 22 23 What I am asking Your Honor to credit is that both counsel would be familiar with that doctrine, that that is a well 24 25 known doctrine in the field of book advertising. It is the FTC's